



Non-deverbal nominalizations and events

Viktoria Schneider
Event Semantics
07.11.2020

Overview: Event-related Nominalizations

- Events → eventualities: events and states
- Participants of events or whole events selected by nominalizing affix
- Research tends to focus on verbal bases (e.g. Barker 1998; Kawaletz & Plag 2015)
 - e.g. *employ* in *employee* (Barker 1998), *reassure* in *reassurance* (Kawaletz & Plag 2015)

Overview: Event-related Nominalizations

- Event inherited from base verb (Plag et al. 2018)
 - Event in verb in its semantic representation (e.g. Löbner 2013)
- events and event participants selectable for nominalizing affix
- Example: base: *to employ*, derivations: *employer*, *employee*
 - Nominalizing suffix creates different meaning of derivative
 - by selection of event
 - by participant in the event of the base word


Overview: Event-related Nominalizations

- Example derivations from *to employ*
- Partial frame for Verb *to employ*

<i>employ</i>	[<i>employing-action</i>]
		AGENT [1] <i>John</i>	
		PATIENT [2] <i>Paul</i>	
		...	


Overview: Event-related Nominalizations

- Example derivations from *to employ*



Overview: Event-related Nominalizations

- Example derivations from *to employ*



Overview: Non-verbal bases

- What about formations like *debtee*, *biographee*?
- Many nominalizing suffixes also attach to non-verbal bases (Plag 1999, 2004; Bauer et al. 2013)
- What do we know about non-verbal bases?
- “the verbal relation is implied by context or can be inferred from the nature of the non-verbal base. [...] Such interpretations follow from the sort of activities that the base nouns could conceivably be involved in.” (Bauer et al. 2013: 233)

Research Question(s)

- Is it possible to find an event in the semantics of non-verbal base words?
- If yes, how can we model the semantic representation of these eventive structures in the non-verbal base?
- Focus on nominal bases and -ee derivations

Goal

- Investigate how non-verbal bases used for nominalizations
- Identify eventive elements in non-verbal bases
- Demonstrate interaction of elements in semantic representation of base and derivative
- Indicate change of reading(s) from base to derivative
- Use frame semantics (e.g. Löbner 2013; Plag et al. 2018)

Data collection

- Data from BNC (Davies 2004) and COCA (Davies 2008)

debtee

biographee

covenantee

absentee

bargee

counselee

- Oxford English Dictionary (OED 2018) checked for possible base forms
- Bases which occur both as a verb and as a non-verbal category checked by frequency
 - COCA (Davies 2008) and COHA (Davies 2010) frequencies for possible base forms
 - As soon as the verbal base represents over 30% of all tokens of the base forms → base excluded

charge	Tokens	Percentage
V	8265	31
other	26469	69

Numbers
COCA

Data set size

- Data set includes mostly nominal bases; adjectival bases also attested


- -ee
 - 26 derivatives
 - 24 nominal bases
 - E.g.: *debtee*, *biographee*, *covenantee*
 - 2 adjectival bases
 - E.g.: *absentee*, *secondee*

Frame Semantics

- Possible to model semantic representation of base word and derivative
- Indicate different readings from base to derivative
- Make “inferred” eventive elements in base selectable for nominalizing suffix

Restrictions –ee

- Requires/selects non-volitional and sentient participant in event of base word (Barker 1998)
- Example: *employee*




Example Analysis *debtee*


(1) *The ‘debtee’ is an old word for the creditor or payee.* (BNC)

- Base *debt* not clearly eventive at first sight
- OED definitions debt:
 - 1. “That which is owed or due: a sum of money or a material thing; a thing immaterial.”
 - 2. “A liability or obligation to pay or render something; the condition of being under such obligation.”
- Second definition denotes a state → *debt as obligation-state*
- *Debtee* is the person who gets something back


Example Analysis *debtee*




Example Analysis *debtee*




Example Analysis *debtee*




Example Analysis *debtee*




Example Analysis *debtee*



Example Analysis *debtee*




Example Analysis *debtee*



Problem:
which of
these two
possible
participants in
red is the one
selected by
the affix?

Example Analysis *debtee*



Open Points

- *-ee/-er* resemblance
- Exceptions possible
 - E.g. can select AGENT-argument of intransitive verbs (Barker 1998)
 - Example: *escapee* although *escaper* also exists (Barker 1998)
 - Example: *bargee* → *the bargeman*
- Analogical effect as verbal bases more frequent
- Event/participant selection dependent on depth of embedding

Further Research

- Event approachability for all types of derivatives in –ee
 - E.g. *biographee, covenantee, counselee*

- Model adjectival bases
 - E.g. *absentee*

- Model other nominalizing suffixes *-age, -ance, -ation, -er, -ment, -ure*

- Include N-V conversion

- Find possible generalizations for suffixes in isolation and for whole process

- Restriction event selection when more than one event in base

References

- Barker, Chris. 1998. Episodic -ee in English: A thematic role constraint on new word formation. *Language* 74 (4), pp. 695-727.
- Bauer, Laurie, Rochelle Lieber & Ingo Plag. 2013. *The Oxford reference guide to English morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Davies, Mark. 2004. *British National Corpus* (from Oxford University Press). Available online at <https://www.english-corpora.org/bnc/>.
- Davies, Mark. 2008. *The Corpus of Contemporary American English: 400+ million words, 1990-present*. Available online at <https://www.english-corpora.org/coca/>.
- Davies, Mark. 2010. *The Corpus of Historical American English (COHA): 400 million words, 1810-2009*. Available online at <https://www.english-corpora.org/coha/>.
- Kawaletz, Lea & Ingo Plag. 2015. Predicting the semantics of English nominalizations: A frame-based analysis of -ment Suffixation. In: *Semantics of complex words*. Bauer, Laurie, Livia Körtvélyessy, Pavol Štekauer (Eds.), pp. 289-319.
- Löbner, Sebastian. 2013. *Understanding semantics*, 2nd edn. (Understanding language series). London: Routledge.
- OED. 2018. *The Oxford English Dictionary online*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Plag, Ingo. 1999. *Morphological productivity: Structural constraints in English derivation*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Plag, Ingo. 2004. Syntactic category information and the semantics of derivational morphological rules. *Folia Linguistica* 38(3-4). 193–225.
- Plag, Ingo, Marios Andreou & Lea Kawaletz. 2018. A frame-semantic approach to polysemy in affixation. In Olivier Bonami, Gilles Boyé, Georgette Dal, Hélène Giraudo & Fiammetta Namer (eds.), *The lexeme in descriptive and theoretical morphology*, 467–486. Berlin: Language Science Press.

hhu.



Thank you!